14 DCNC2007/1479/F - PROPOSED REAR EXTENSION AND NEW FRONT PORCH AT MIDDLETON FARM HOUSE, MIDDLETON, LITTLE HEREFORD, LUDLOW, SHROPSHIRE, SY8 4LQ

For: Dr C Harrison per Mr P Simkin, Thorne Architecture Ltd, Creative Industries Centre, Glaisher Drive, Wolverhampton Science Park, Wolverhampton, WV10 9TG

Grid Ref:

54794, 69813

Date Received: 14th May 2007 Expiry Date: 9th July 2007

Expiry Date:

Ward: Upton

Local Member: Councillor J Stone

1. Site Description and Proposal

- 1.1 The application relates to a substantial detached dwelling. It is predominantly of brick construction with a single storey lean-to extension and conservatory to the rear and a timber frame addition to the side. The dwelling is well proportioned and is an imposing feature at the roadside and within the context of the loose group of buildings that can be found in the locality. It is particularly charcterised by its parapetted gable ends and substantial brick chimney stacks. The front door is offset to the left hand side and two original window openings have been blanked.
- 1.2 A yard lies to the left hand side, between the dwelling and what were associated farm buildings. These are arranged in an "L" shape and serve to enclose the area, but have been converted to independent residential dwellings. To the rear of the dwelling are a series of smaller outbuildings that appear to be used for general domestic storage purposes.
- 1.3 The proposal is for the erection of a two storey rear extension and the addition of a porch to the front. The extension is twin gabled and stretches across the rear elevation of the main brick element of the dwelling. The gables are parapetted to match existing detailing, as is the detailing of new windows. A narrow conservatory is also proposed as part of the extension.
- 1.4 The porch is offset to the right and is a simple lean-to off a brick plinth.
- 1.5 The scheme also includes the widening of an existing access and shows a fence along the boundary with the adjacent converted barns.

2. Policies

Herefordshire Unitary Development Plan.

H18 - Alterations and extensions

DR1 - Design HBA8 - Locally important buildings

3. Planning History

NC07/0577/F - Proposed erection of a two storey rear extension, porch and garage block - Refused 18th April 2007 for the following reasons.

- "1. The rear extension pays little regard to the character or appearance of a dwelling that has some prominence within the locality. In particular the flat roof extension does not reflect its architectural quality and the proposal is contrary to policies DR1(1) and H18(2) of the Herefordshire Unitary Development Plan.
- 2. The proposed porch would introduce an unduly dominant feature into a simple elevation. It does not respect the character or appearance of the dwelling and is therefore contrary to policies DR1(1) and H18(2) of the Herefordshire Unitary Development Plan.
- 3. The introduction of a new building into the area between the dwelling and its former farm buildings will completely disrupt their historic relationship, contrary to policies DR1 and HBA8 of the UDP."

4. Consultation Summary

Statutory Consultations

4.1 None required

Internal Council Consultations

4.2 Transportation Manager - No objections

Internal Council Advice

5. Representations

5.1 Five letters of objection have been received from the following:-

Mrs. Thorneycroft - Spring Cottage, Middleton

Mr. G. Glenister - Crease Cottage, Middleton

Mr. & Mrs. Phillipson - Field House, Middleton

Mr. & Mrs. Vile - March Cottage, Middleton

Mr. & Mrs. McGhee - The Old Cider Mill Middleton

In summary the points raised are as follows:-

- (i) The extension will overlook the garden of The Old Cider Mill.
- (ii) It is out of character with the area.
- (iii) A proposed fence along the boundary with the converted barns will destroy the historic links between the two.

- (iv) Changes to the access will give a suburban appearance.
- 5.2 The full text of these letters can be inspected at Northern Planning Services, Garrick House, Widemarsh Street, Hereford and prior to the Sub-Committee meeting.

6. Officers Appraisal

- 6.1 This is a revised application following the refusal described above. A number of changes have been made to the proposal. The main three being as follows:-
 - (i) the deletion of a proposed garage block;
 - (ii) alterations to the extension to omit the flat roof originally proposed;
 - (iii) the reduction in size and simplification of the porch.
- 6.2 The design of the extension is now considered to be acceptable. It reflects the architectural detailing of the original house and is also of an appropriate scale to it. Subject to conditions relating to the submission of details relating to materials and joinery the proposal is considered to accord with policy in this respect. Similarly, the revisions to the design of the porch are acceptable.
- 6.3 The extension will not cause any demonstrable overlooking of The Old Cider Mill. The extension will be approximately 15 metres from the boundary between the two and faces onto a gable end.
- 6.4 The inclusion of a fence along the boundary between the application site and the converted barns is unfortunate and will disrupt the historic relationship between the two. However, provided that the fence does not exceed 2 metres in height, it would constitute permitted development and in itself does not require the benefit of planning permission.
- 6.5 The application also includes some alterations to widen the access. Presently, the roadside boundary and access is defined by a low stone wall. Subject to similar detailing, the widening of the access is considered to be acceptable.
- 6.6 It is, therefore, concluded that the proposal accords with the relevant UDP policies. The extension and porch are appropriate in terms of their scale and design and will not impact upon residential amenity. The new access is similarly acceptable and the proposed fence is permitted development up to a height of 2 metres. It is therefore recommended that planning permission be approved.

RECOMMENDATION

That planning permission be granted subject to the following conditions

1 - A01 (Time limit for commencement (full permission))

Reason: Required to be imposed by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

2 - B01 (Samples of external materials)

Reason: To ensure that the materials harmonise with the surroundings.

3 - C04 (Details of window sections, eaves, verges and barge boards)

Reason: To safeguard the character and appearance of this building.

4 - C05 (Details of external joinery finishes)

Reason: To safeguard the character and appearance of this building.

5 - Prior to the commencement of the development hereby approved, full details of the alterations to the existing access shall be submitted to, and approved in writing by, the local planning authority. The alterations shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason: In the interests of the character and appearance of the surrounding area.


INFORMATIVES:

- 1 N15 Reason(s) for the Grant of PP/LBC/CAC
- 2 N19 Avoidance of doubt
- 3 The applicant is advised that the fence shown on the approved plan constitutes permitted development by virtue of Schedule 2, Part 2, Class A of the Town & Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, provided that it does not exceed 2 metres in height.

Decisi	ion:	 	 	 	
Notes					
NOICS		 	 	 	

Background Papers

Internal departmental consultation replies.



This copy has been produced specifically for Planning purposes. No further copies may be made.

APPLICATION NO: DCNC2007/1479/F

SCALE: 1:1250

SITE ADDRESS: Middleton Farm House, Middleton, Little Hereford, Ludlow, SY8 4LQ

Based upon the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of the controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office, © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Herefordshire Council. Licence No: 100024168/2005